

TRANSCRIPT

A VICTORY COALITION BRIEFING

After “Designation,” What?

Defeating the Muslim Brotherhood and Other Sharia-supremacists’ War against Western Civilization and What Must be Done to Accomplish It

1:00–2:15 a.m. ET, November 25, 2025

<https://victoryco.org/vc-briefing-after-designation-what-defeating-the-muslim-brotherhood-and-sharia-supremacisms-war-against-western-civilization/>

Media File: Webinar_MB_Designation.mp4

BEGIN TRANSCRIPT:

[00:00:00] **Frank Gaffney:** Welcome to this very timely briefing by the Victory Coalition about the Muslim Brotherhood. The degree to which it poses a mortal threat to the United States of America, and for that matter, to freedom loving people elsewhere around the world, and the necessity of taking steps to counteract it, including but not limited to designating it as what it is. An international terrorist organization and a transnational criminal, one at that steps that the Governor of Texas took in recent days steps that the President of the United States has not fully taken to date and yet has made a designation of his own in the past 24 hours as we speak, concerning chapters and divisions of the Muslim Brotherhood that would be deemed to be foreign terrorist organizations. We're gonna talk in the course of this interview, this series of conversations, I should say with some of the best minds. In the national security business, particularly in the business of countering enemies like the Muslim Brotherhood, enemies within the United States like the Muslim Brotherhood and enemies, like the Muslim Brotherhood in the United States and elsewhere that are set on destroying nothing less than Western civilization and replacing it with a new world governance arrangement, a caliphate under Sharia. All of these are topics that we have addressed in the past in the Victory Coalition. All of them are topics that have taken on a urgency, an urgency not least because of these two important political figures in America, making known their concerns. Somewhat different. Yes. But their concerns nonetheless about a toxic ideology that back in August of 2016, August 15th, to be precise, the then Republican nominee for President of the United States, Donald J. Trump, addressed in an, I believe, surpassingly important speech in Youngstown, Ohio. He talked in the course of it

about the ideology of radical Islam that we are up against. He talked among other things about the importance of assuring that people who did not love our country, did not seek to advance its values and protect its constitution. People who sought to replace that constitution, in fact, with Sharia law, should not be admitted to our country. He also talked, I think, very pointedly and very correctly about the importance of countering organizations that espouse Sharia and this radical Islamic ideology and the various operations divisions, if you will, subdivisions chapters, call them what you would, the radical clerics, the mosques, the front organizations, and yes, the cells of those like the Muslim Brotherhood. Operating in the United States, and he said he committed publicly, Donald Trump did That is to helping to shut them down and root them out of this country. The president is a man who has made a point in the course of his public career of emphasizing that promises made are promises to be kept, and he has done that rather remarkably. And we are going to talk about in this particular webinar, the importance of him keeping the promise in his words, to strip out and remove one by one. The support networks for the ideology of radical Islam. The Muslim Brotherhood, as we will demonstrate in the course of this program, is at the very top of that list of such organizations to be stripped out and removed. One by one, we're going to hear first from a man who has contributed frequently to these programs and so much more. His name is Robert Spencer. He has the distinction of having authored and published at least 32 books, I say at least, because with the passage of you in a few days, it seems there's another one that, that has emerged from his incredible prolific pen. Robert Spencer's most recent book is entitled Intifada on the Hudson: The Selling of Zohran Mamdani, and we've asked him to speak on the basis of his incredible scholarship and body of works, about Sharia, about Islam, about jihad, and about those like the Muslim brotherhood that seek to use violent jihad or the stealthy kind to achieve the dominance of Sharia. Over this country and the rest of the world. We have him by a prerecorded video. We're very grateful for him doing that. And let's go to that videotape now.

[00:06:58] **Robert Spencer:** The president's designation of chapters of the Muslim Brotherhood as terrorist organizations is a positive development, but we have to be aware of exactly what kind of positive development it is. The declaration only opens an investigation into the chapters of the Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt, Jordan and Lebanon. This is more of an affirmation of our alliance with those countries, which all have anti-Muslim brotherhood governments than it is a strike against the brotherhood itself. If the president wanted to take a step against the Muslim Brotherhood in the United States. And stopped its infiltration into government and law enforcement through American Muslim agencies in the United States. Then he would have opened an

investigation into declaring the chapters from Turkey and Qatar of the Muslim Brotherhood as terrorist organizations. By not doing so, he leaves the Muslim Brotherhood apparatus, which is quite extensive and sophisticated in the United States entirely intact. Nothing will be done to dismantle it or to counter its activities. We have to remember that we are talking about the organizations in the United States, notably the Hamas Linked Council on American Islamic Relations, the Islamic Society of North America. The Islamic Circle of North America, the Muslim American Society, the Muslim Students Association, all of these and every other major Muslim group in the United States is tied in various ways to the Muslim Brotherhood, but all of them are not tied to the Muslim Brotherhood of Egypt, Jordan or Lebanon. And that means they will continue their activities as usual. What are those activities? Let's recall the wording of the notorious explanatory memorandum of the Muslim brotherhood's activities in North America, which was a, an internal document never intended to be released. That was discovered in an FBI raid on the Holy Land Foundation's offices back in 2007. The Holy Land Foundation was the largest Muslim charity in the United States. It was shut down for funneling the charitable donations to Hamas, and in this document it said, the brothers must understand that their work in America is a kind of grand jihad in eliminating and destroying Western civilization from within and sabotaging its miserable house by their hands and the hands of the believers so that it falls and Allah's religion is victorious over other religions. Now, there has been a great deal of discussion about this memorandum ever since it was discovered with much energy being devoted on the left to efforts to discredit it and to claim that it has no influence on actual Muslim brotherhood activities in the United States. The obvious response to that is the proof of the pudding is in the eating. As they say in the uk, the Council on American Islamic Relations, most notably has been in opposition to every counter terror measure that has ever been proposed or implemented in the United States. It has consistently worked to demonize silence and destroy everyone who spoke honestly about the nature and motivating ideology of jihad terrorism. And so what are they trying to do, but sabotage the miserable house of the West. And they will continue to do so because this declaration that the investigations are being opened to designate these Muslim Brotherhood chapters terrorist organizations only have to do with affirming support for the Assisi government in Egypt, for King Abdullah in Jordan, and for the Lebanese government of Joseph Aun. That's it. This has nothing to do with the Muslim brotherhood apparatus in the United States, and so those who are pushing and have been pushing all these many years for the Muslim Brotherhood in the United States to be designated a terrorist organization would do well to try to pressure the Trump administration to follow the example of Governor Greg

Abbott of Texas, who not only designated the brotherhood in his state. Terrorist organization, but also specifically named the Council on American Islamic Relations. That's the head of the snake in the United States. You wanna stop the Islamization of the United States? You wanna stop things like Epic City in Texas. You want to stop the spread of Sharia in the United States and the gradual erosion of the freedoms of Western society. The Council on American Islamic Relations is what needs to be designated a terrorist organization. So let us hope that will be forthcoming from the administration, but right now, what they've done so far, that's not it. Thank you.

[00:12:55] **Frank Gaffney:** Robert Spencer. Thank you. An extraordinarily important assessment of both what is the good news and what is the not so good news or the incomplete mission. We're gonna get a little bit more texture on all of that with our next presenter also joining us by videotape in this case, an interview that I conducted earlier today with John Guandolo as part of our Securing America program. It will air tomorrow morning on real America's voice, but you're getting a sneak preview of it here as you'll see a little bit more of me than is probably a good idea. But I had some. Very important interactions with John in the course of this interview. And it didn't lend itself to editing me out, so forgive that intrusion. But John is of course, again known to our Victory Coalition audience for his great work over many years in a variety of capacities. He's a graduate of the US Naval Academy. He served in the Marine Corps as an officer in the fabled force reconnaissance arm of the Marines, the very pointy part of the pointy end of the sphere in combat in Desert Storm. He subsequently became a member of the SWAT team of the FBI and ultimately its commanding officer. He then, after nine 11, migrated over to become a special agent in the counter-terrorism arm of agent the Bureau, and served in that capacity, including. Going head to head against the Muslim Brotherhood. He also, during his time in the Bureau after nine 11, sought to train his colleagues and others in the military and the intelligence community about what we are up against in the form of these sharia supremacists. He subsequently served for a time at the Defense Department and has been very involved ever since with the work he's doing now, which is training people in the private sector again, people in government, people in the military, people in various other walks of life that are responsible for our security and safeguarding our freedoms as well as private citizens and community leaders who are. Keen to do exactly that as well. I'm very grateful to John for participating in this program or allowing me really to use the other program for this purpose. And we'll go to the video with John Guandolo. Now, what do you make of this executive order that the president issued yesterday, the 24th of November?

[00:15:39] **John Guandolo:** I think the, it's good in the sense that it, like the Texas Governor's proclamation designating the Muslim Brotherhood, a terrorist organization, it brings the issue to the forefront in the eyes of the public. And I think for that, it's a very good thing. But the actual content of Mr. Trump's executive order necessarily limits or it certainly appears to limit the. Coverage of this order to certain chapters of the Muslim Brotherhood instead of the Muslim Brotherhood as an international organization. And of course the greatest concern I have, and I know you do as well, is the Muslim brotherhood's massive network in the United States that is left untouched. And I, it, the order does not seem to address, it doesn't address, nor does it even seem to hint at the Muslim Brotherhood Network in the United States would be the primary target.

[00:16:41] **Frank Gaffney:** John let me come back to that because that's obviously an incredibly important point, but is there any evidence that you're aware of that there is a dime's worth of difference between chapters or subdivisions of the brotherhood and. The mothership such that it could be possible that there are terrorist organizations, that operate in these subsidiary elements, but that the organization itself is not actually a terrorist organization as well.

[00:17:18] **John Guandolo:** No, that's a great question. But no, there's no difference. And the key is what is their purpose, right? That the stated purpose in the bylaws of the International Muslim Brotherhood is to fight the tyrants and enemies of all laws of prelude to establishing Islamic state. And in the very first sentence of their bylaws, that states the Muslim brotherhood's, an international organization which seeks to establish Allah's law in the land, barbaric Sharia law. And that's the purpose of every chapter. Now a chapter in Jordan and a chapter in Syria and a chapter in pick a place in Africa. May operate differently in the sense that they have to live with the reality on the ground of how far they can go and what they're able to do. But the objective is the same. So each chapter is as dangerous as the other.

[00:18:13] **Frank Gaffney:** And when you make that distinction, John you are clearly contemplating that. For example, as has been true in the United States to this point, the brothers calculate that the use of terrifying violence, which they're all about, because that is baked into the DNA of Sharia. Is it not? Maybe, counterproductive for the moment. They are not issuing jihad, they're just pursuing a stealthy previ kind of it.

[00:18:50] **John Guandolo:** Would that be fair? That's exactly right. It's not only fair, it's what they're doing. They're what they're doing now in the previ phase is they're collecting intelligence. This is a counterintelligence espionage, subversion

operation, a massive one not only across the United States, but in Europe and North America and elsewhere as well. Yeah, this is, they are preparing the ground for the war and their understanding of the physical conflict is when they believe the tower's ready to fall, then they go violent. The explanatory memorandum that from which you just read is specifically this. For the strategy for the Muslim Brotherhood in North America, not in Lebanon or somewhere else. They have their own ground strategies there. So that's the first key. The second thing is based on what you just said, we know the Muslim Brotherhood launched a massive propaganda campaign that their leaders admitted to in the Egypt Independent although on their Arabic side in 2017 that they launched a massive propaganda effort with Congress and with others to ensure the brotherhood not only was not designated, but that US leaders understood that they're not a terrorist organization, which of course they are. And that's documented. You have the general secretary of the Muslim Brotherhood stated this. You have the foreign secretary quoted and they specifically thanked Qatar and Turkey for helping them with this propaganda operation. I think it's important to remember when we talk about what you mentioned earlier, the nonviolent subversive effort. This was a major effort. I don't think there's a component of the government that hasn't been penetrated and isn't corrupted because of their efforts. Almost 10 years ago, Rich Higgins talked about during the Obama administration that the Muslim Brotherhood controls the national security decision making process as it relates to the Islamic world. And I concurred then, and I think it's much worse now. You have Muslim brothers working inside the administration. You have Hamza Yusuf, one of the senior Islamic jurists in North America as a part of the US Commission on religious liberty, which is absurd. You've got Ra Shri on the executive committee, on the Council on American Islamic Relations, a Muslim Brotherhood Group doing businesses, Hamas, doing business as care working inside the administration traveling, traveled to Qatar when the president went to Qatar. And others. We've had not just now over the last 20 years, Hamas operatives inside the national security apparatus and in all aspects, the interfaith outreach in the United States is controlled by the Muslim Brotherhood. If we look at it from at the State Department how they've penetrated, if you look at it in the education in the United States, their impact on what goes in the US Public School textbooks about Islam in initially the Council on Islamic Education all these organizations at every level in the financial, on Wall Street, Sharia compliant finance, the Muslim brother, it's got its fingers all over that. It's endless. They are like cockroaches in the house and there is no serious national security. Decision making that's being done to eliminate this.

[00:22:41] **Frank Gaffney:** John, let me just be clear about one other piece of this, and that is that as aggressive and as successful and as a huge, as the

Muslim Brotherhood Network for supporting Sharia's, supremacism is in the United States. It's not the only one that we are confronting at the moment. Is it and in particular, I know in your native state of Texas now your adopted state of Texas this is evident and has to be addressed as well, does it not?

[00:23:12] **John Guandolo:** Yes. So to your first point the Muslim Brotherhood is the leader and the assessment that I've made since 2000 17 and 18, is that Turkey controls the US Muslim Brotherhood. But to, to your point, there are other. Networks here. There's a massive Iranian Hezbollah network here. There's the DO Bondi network here. There's a the, these networks, however, and these other movements, small M movements are a part of the Islamic movement, capital M and the in United States and North America. And they all have the same objective to wage jihad in order to impose Sharia on the earth and to overthrow un-Islamic governments, including the United States of America.

[00:24:02] **Frank Gaffney:** Are these groups now poised in your estimation to go violent? And two, are they collaborating with communists for that purpose?

[00:24:15] **John Guandolo:** Yes and yes, to be short we know they are there are discussions internal in the Muslim Brotherhood on when. Okay. And when to go violent on a mass scale. We know that the groups, and by the way the leadership of groups like Islamic State and Al-Qaeda they're regional commanders and leadership at the international level are Muslim brothers. So the, there's no disparity there. It's just a difference of tactics. And in terms of the communists or, yeah, they're working together seamlessly at the local level across the United States.

[00:24:50] **Frank Gaffney:** Thank you very much, John Guandolo. We're going to address a little bit more in detail that Red Green business in a moment. But before we do, I'm very pleased that we have with us David Yerushalmi, Esq., one of the foremost litigators in America, a partner in an incredibly important not-for-profit, public interest law firm entitled The American Freedom Law Center. He is one of the country's preeminent experts on Sharia in the non-Muslim part of the world, I should say, as well as the efforts that are being made by groups like the Muslim Brotherhood to impose it upon all of us. And the various techniques that they are using for that purpose. Notably, but not exclusively, he has a. Deep knowledge of Sharia compliant finance. It's written extensively on this subject and is one of our go-to resources on it. As on so many other things. We particularly wanted David, you to join us to talk a little bit about the extent to which the brotherhood has been penetrating our institutions, including our judicial institutions as part of their influence, operations and stealthy jihad against our country. We're delighted to have you with us. Sir, the floor is yours.

[00:26:15] **David Yerushalmi:** Thank you, Frank, for inviting me to this important webinar. Before I jump into kind of the penetration into the judicial system and others let me first address as an attorney a question that's going to be raised by those who are going to examine carefully this webinar and other critiques of the executive order. As both a legal and a political document states that there's going to be a process so that the Secretary of State and the Secretary of the Treasury are going to get together with the Attorney General and ultimately produce a report. That report then is going to be transferred, examined by the head of intelligence, and then they're going to sector state is ordered then to designate if it's necessary. One point that's I think, important to raise is the fact that the chapters that the executive order references the language is actually including those in Lebanon, Jordan, and Egypt, so that there is the possibility that the, examination and the ultimate report and any possible designation is going to occur beyond just those particular chapters so that there's the possibility that the Muslim Brotherhood is an international organization, would be designated now for everyone to be clear, to be designated as a foreign terrorist organization. And that's what this reference is. It has to be a foreign organization. It has to engage in terrorism activity or terrorism and or it has to have the intent and the capability to engage in terrorism or terrorist activity. So then the question's going to arise. One is the Muslim Brotherhood of foreign terrorist organization. So as discussed earlier, it has to be understood if it's going to be designated as the Muslim Brotherhood and a foreign terrorist international organization it has to be an organization. So is the Muslim Brotherhood a kind of organization with a web of chapters or is it a bunch of disparate chapters that have their own purposes, intent, et cetera? So in the past you see a lot of legal analysis or scholarship, and I put both of those in quotes that suggest that the Muslim Brotherhood is too disparate. It's too disunified to be a single organization. And I think that's poor legal reasoning and poor logistical analysis because what in the Muslim Brotherhood is a formal central charter and purpose. And a linking of each of the chapters both to that and to their purposes, if not publicly, you certainly see it within the evidence that's been uncovered to date. For example, let's jump from the Muslim Brotherhood. In Egypt and Pakistan and elsewhere. Turkey is pointed out by John and let's come to the United States. We know for example, that the Holy Land Foundation and the Council on American Islamic Relations were specifically tied to the Muslim Brotherhood at their founding and for years thereafter. The question with regard to domestic Muslim Brotherhood organizations like care is going to be is the evidence today sufficient to establish their connection to this Muslim Brotherhood organization that's webbed out in these chapters? Is there a common conspiracy or is there a common intent? And that's going to be. Ultimately require an additional investigation beyond what

we've seen in the past. So we, there was a lot of evidence during the Wholly Island Foundation trial in 2008 and evidence subsequent to that, but much of that evidence goes back more than a decade. So that, that would be required to go from the kind of legal connection between domestic organizations and even the other international chapters that are tied together in their intent. The question will be with regard to the Muslim Brotherhood, is there a actual intent to engage in terrorism? That. Threatens the US national interest and national security interest. And I think the question of that is going to come out on the affirmative side, but again, that would require some investigation and to bring what many of us were working on back in 2008, 9, 10, 11, and beyond. Up to date. What we do know, and we've seen this in activity in legal matters we've seen it in the mosque. If you recall, in 2011, we published a study that was ultimately published in the Perspectives on Terrorism journal, peer review journal, in which we identified through random sampling mosques throughout the country that promoted. Not just the Muslim Brotherhood agenda, but actual terrorism. And they were scaled on the call for jihad or terrorism versus their Sharia compliance. And we saw a very clear correlation between Sharia adherence and the call for jihad or violence in the United States and beyond. So we've seen that connection and we've also seen in the courts this kind of subtle what Robert Spencer has identified over the years, which is this subtle effort to infuse SHA concepts within. The courts and the court system by applying Sharia law in certain civil and criminal matters. And there's been a fair amount of success. And that, of course drove you and I and others to, to draft a model legislation, American Laws for American Courts, which said if you intend to import the use of foreign laws within civil cases or criminal cases that violate due process, equal protection, fundamental civil liberties in this country, they are going to be void, is against public policy and not permitted. But that effort in states that have not passed, that legislation continues and we see it in Texas, we see it in Virginia and elsewhere.

[00:33:43] **Frank Gaffney:** David, thank you very much. I'm so glad you were able to bring into the mix here. Both your really important study of Sharia in the mosques. I think there was a very high correlation, I'm not sure it was explicitly established, but it seems to have been the case that those sharia mosques that you profiled in many cases, and it was a random survey, let me make that clear. Were Islamic society of such and such a place, or Islamic centers of such and such a place which are kind of part of the brand of the Muslim Brotherhood in which gives rise to a sense of the magnitude of the infrastructure that the brothers have created in this country. As most cities have of any consequence have got at least one of these. You also hopefully discussed the Sharia. Legal threat to our constitution and the model legislation, American Laws for American Courts. And your leadership on that was tremendously appreciated. Quite a

number of states adopted it, and the rest should as well. We're going to turn next to a man who brings considerable experience with the brotherhood and the various manifestations of its operations. In particular the point that was made at the tail end of John's interview earlier in the program, the collusion between the Green of the brotherhood with the red of the Communists. In fact Jim Simpson has written, I think, two books at least on the subject of the Red Green Axis and how it's among other things manifested in the exploitation of our immigration policy lapses especially in the course of the Biden administration. It was egregious, needless to say, but we've asked Jim to join us and he's done so at the last possible moment. And I appreciate our friend Trevor Loudon, who will be our cleanup, better bearing with us for just a few minute more to allow Jim to discuss this piece of why stripping out and removing as. Donald J. Trump said in 2016, the support networks that enable this radical Islamic ideology must apply to the communists infrastructure and their collaborators with the Jihadists as well. Jim Simpson thank you for your leadership on all these issues, your scholarship on them, and for joining us today to talk a bit about it.

[00:36:29] **Jim Simpson:** Over to you. Thanks, Frank. Thanks for inviting me in. It was really great to hear David speak. He's so knowledgeable and brilliant. I really appreciated that opportunity when he talked about care and all of the other domestic Islamic organizations that are. Tied to the Muslim Brotherhood. We all know, it's pretty, my pretty obvious on its face if you look at it, that they share every aspect of the agenda and that they are tied together. And as you frank know, care was founded in 1994 is the front group for Hamus in the United States. So it's, it is actually, a domestic supporter of foreign terrorism. And the other thing that I would add to that about the red green axis is, Nihad Awad. It's like Zoltan Mond because he was a communist before he became a radical Islamist. He, he was the in charge of the youth for Palestine, which was a communist organization. And as Trevor knows, when you become a communist, you don't get to leave of your own accord. It's like joining the mafia. And so he moved over to to take over care. And as far as I'm concerned, and as far as everything he has. Spoken openly about our concern. He is every bit as much the radical leftist as Mom Donny is. He is talked about supporting transgender rights and fighting in the streets with Black Lives Matter and all of these other things that are unrelated to directly. Anyway, the the Sharia supremacism that they all want to see imposed here. But I'll go one step further. Because the way they. Have subverted our laws and the way the very duplicitous ways they insert themselves into our political culture and our corporate culture for that matter. As Frank, I went to Texas in 2018 to help prevent a halal slaughterhouse from being constructed in a certain city in Texas. And I can't claim all the credit for that. But with, along with these activists who were working against it, we defeated that

effort. But the point is. That we not only have to really rightly declare them all part of a foreign terrorist organization. Every terrorist organization from Islamic terrorist organization has sprung from the Muslim brotherhood, Aman Alwa here. He founded Islam Egyptian Islamic Jihad, which is a break off sect from the Muslim Brotherhood. And then of course, that created Al-Qaeda. And so all of these organizations are really split offs. Almost all of 'em are split offs from the Muslim Brotherhood. And so the Muslim brotherhood's agenda hasn't changed, but it's become more subversive. And I argue that. Both for the Islamists and for the Communists. We need to really strengthen and better define. And finally rigorously enforce laws against subversion. Our Congress' is just utterly failing in that regard, and we really need to boost that because that the, it's all part and parcel of the same agenda. There's terrorism, that's the, that's the stick. And then there's this gradual subversion where they try to indoctrinate our kids, try to take over the media, take over churches with the interfaith dialogue and things like that. This is all subversion. And to me that's just as important if not more important. Because the, they can always claim that these lone wolf Islamic terrorist attacks are not connected to them. They're certainly are inspired by them, but the subversion is indisputable and we need to fix that.

[00:40:58] **Frank Gaffney:** Jim Simpson, we absolutely do. Thank you very much for this. Really important, intervention and broadening the lens as we needed to. Speaking of mastery of enemies within and the various ways in which they configure themselves and comport themselves there's arguably no more impactful analyst and expert on the subject than our final presenter today. And that would be, again, a frequent contributor to all of our programs at the Institute for the American Future. In fact, one of our senior fellows, Trevor Loudon, Trevor is the author of a number of books of great relevance to the present topic, including enemies within security risk senators, a two volume set, and House Un Americans A six volume set. And we were very anxious to have Trevor join us to talk not just about the brotherhood. Its activities and agenda, but also to talk about the penetration of our government which some suspect may be contributing to the way that we have to this point failed to address the threat from the brotherhood, not just care, but other elements of it as well. And perhaps even having some impact on the nature of the designation. President Trump issued yesterday. Trevor is a podcaster, an essayist, a filmmaker, as well as an author. A force of nature ailing originally from New Zealand but now very much a binational treasure in my estimation for his great work on all of these subjects. And we're so appreciative of him visiting with us today, the purposes of sharing some of his insights. Over to you, my friend.

[00:42:54] **Trevor Loudon:** Thanks very much, Frank, and I'll echo what Jim said. It's absolutely correct that, Nihad Awad was a communist before he was a, a Muslim Brotherhood front man, but he is, he still remains so to this day, care works very extensively with Democratic Socialists of America. They have, they overlap a lot. Which is why K supported Zohran Mamdani, the communist, who recently won the New York Mayoralty. I learned the other day something I'd always suspected, but now I've had confirmed that when the Muslim Brotherhood was set up in Egypt in 1929 army officers from the Egyptian Communist Party played a major role in that. And that's always been the way it has been. And so what you've got with care and the Muslim Student Association and other Muslim Brotherhood front groups in this country is the cell structure modeled on the communist movement. And the. The front group, the whole network of front groups that we see, we look, we know the Communist Party in itself in America is quite small, but they control unions. They control churches, they control social agencies. They're involved in African American groups are involved in Native American groups. And you see the same structure with the Muslim brotherhood fronts. They're involved in charitable organizations. They run whole networks of schools all over the country. Amy Meck from from the Rare Foundation just broke a big story this morning about a massive network of Muslim Brotherhood front schools working outta Tampa, the similar operations all over the country. We also know that care is getting very professional about penetrating politics. A few years ago they set up a Muslim Democratic Council with Nancy Pelosi and others, and now they're setting up cells in, in every congressional district in Florida to change the political structure of that state because they recognize that if you can turn, help turn Florida towards the the blue side of the ledger. That's actually the real red side of the ledger. And the care is basically a communist front organization, very much a communist organization. We also know that care works extensively with many congressmen and senators, mostly Democrats. But the occasional Republican senator Chris Murphy of Connecticut is deeply in bed with care and has been for some years as is Senator Blumenthal from the same state. Elizabeth Warren is very heavily involved with care. Katie Porter, who's now running for Governor of California, is in the same boat. I could name probably 30 Congress members and 15 or 20 senators who work with care as a matter of course, and actually promote. Care legislation. Blumenthal sits down in Connecticut, sits down with the local care people, and asks them which legislation he would like, they would moved forward. And that is the similar situation around the country. Care has worked in the past and up until recent times with the FBI, with the Southern Poverty Law Center, with their massive network of fake reports of Islamophobia. We see all these, reports of hate crime, anti-Muslim hate crime is RI is rising coming out of the FBI and the Southern

Poverty Law Center. This, because this is because care has networks to report such incidents, but these are not incidents. They are, somebody said something on a bus, somebody saw some graffiti on a desk, somebody overheard a remark on a tram that these are not police reports. These are not actual recorded cases. These are anecdotes which are counted as statistical evidence and used by care to promote this massive Islamophobia myth. And, incidents of persecution of Muslims and this kind of thing. It's a propaganda operation, as it's yes. He smoot on a big scale. Most of these Islamophobic incidents are complete fantasy or faked or committed by Muslim activists themselves to get public sympathy. So care and the Muslim Brotherhood have also penetrated the religious establishments to a large degree through interfaith dialogue. The purpose of that is to neutralize Christians to love bomb Christians and to not standing against Islam and taking the Palestinian cause over the Israeli cause I believe that cares influence and the Muslim Brotherhood influence and the the government body. That was set up to counter religious extremism is the main reason why the massive persecution of Christians in Nigeria was covered up for so long and has only really come to light because of the valiant efforts of people like Judd, Saul and others who privately have gone around such institutions and publicized the horrible persecution going on. So yeah, I would say care is to sum up care is a communist organization as much as it is an Islamist organization, the Muslim Brotherhood as penetrated American religion, American business, American media American government and backed up with a lot of Qatari money. A lot of money as now succeeding in neutralizing conservatives. There's a lot of conservatives out there, and I could name a few who were very much on the ca were very keen to expose Muslim infiltration into America a few years ago, and now much more tolerant of it. And you'll find that many of them are getting care, are getting Qatari money. And we know who some of these people are. And yes, there is a need for a designation so that this whole network, and as Jim pointed out too, all terrorist organizations, the, whether it's Weather underground, the Red Army faction, the Barda Meyerhoff Group, the Japanese Red Army Pop palace Black September popular front for the Liberation of Palestine. 2% of them are actually terrorists and 98% of them are propagandists or support workers or financiers. The actual terrorists who we all focus on, the ones who commit the actual atrocities are only the tip of the iceberg. And it is the iceberg. We must melt and we must excise not just the tip of, not just the tip. When President when President Bush after nine 11 said started with his countering extremism trip, we should only go with against the violent extremism, he was missing the whole point that the violent extremists can only exist because of the vast legal religious. Financial and propaganda networks that support them. So if President Trump's serious about this, he has to be prepared to go pretty darn deep because the

Muslim Brotherhood is pretty darn deep in this country and its tentacle stretch to the highest reaches of the Democratic Party and the Democratic administrations, and certainly they are still existing in the current Trump administration as well at many levels.

[00:51:15] **Frank Gaffney:** Boy, this is a powerful basis upon which to insist really not just, but insist that the designation of the Muslim Brotherhood must apply to its elements in this country. Its chapters, its divisions, whatever one wants to call them. Its infrastructure and its leadership and designating care as a international. Organization engaged in terrorism as well as criminal activity is vital in that regard as well. And David, I wanted to ask you if I could we'll do a quick round of questions. First of all I wanted to say thank you. You were one of the contributors to this really important book, as was John Guandolo and a number of our other colleagues. We called it a Team B two exercise in competitive analysis. It was entitled Sharia, the Threat to America. There's about 50 pages in this book that are specifically focused on the Muslim Brotherhood. Its character, its operations its agenda and as is true of other elements of it as well, including notably the complete incompatibility of Sharia with. Our constitution that makes it required reading, particularly for those in public life who have to address the very serious security implications of what we're facing at the moment. But David, I wanted to ask you as a man who knows a thing or two about the law and you spoke a little bit to this a moment ago, but just to clarify would the Muslim Brotherhood in America qualify as a foreign terrorist organization, or does it have to be designated as a domestic one? Its chapters, its divisions, whatever inside this country in order for it to be the subject of appropriate action by our government. Do you think.

[00:53:20] **David Yerushalmi:** Let me just jump to the latter part of that. If it were designated, as it were, as a domestic terrorist organization, it would be criminalized immediately. I mean that it would be indicted and its members, at least its leadership would be indicted to be designated as a foreign terrorist organization. In my view, it should be. But to be designated as a foreign terrorist organization, you'd have to show a link between its formation and its activities with a foreign terrorist organization. And I think at least the evidence that was developed through the Holy Land Foundation trial and beyond that was established, there's no question that the council in American Islamic Relations, which was actually financed in part by the Holy Land Foundation, which. Is itself a member of the Muslim Brotherhood and a terrorist organization. The fact is that CARE'S founding was connected in that way, its operations the surveilled meeting by the FBI of in the early days of Hamas. Or as they like to designate it during the meeting, sama, which was simply Hamas written backwards to give it

a code. They understood what their organization was for. The connection today will have to be to show that they've, as it were, have not advocated or removed themselves from the conspiracy that is the Muslim Brotherhood. And that just requires. The same kind of deep drill down that was done back in the holy Man Foundation trial with the Council on American Islamic Relations and other similar Muslim brother organizations. Care is probably the most preeminent, but certainly not the only one.

[00:55:21] **Frank Gaffney:** Jim Simpson, the question that was raised a moment ago is one of the role that Qatar is playing in promoting the brotherhood worldwide. And for that matter, every other jihadist organization on the planet, as best I can tell, including the Shia ones associated with Iran. Could you discuss a little bit what it means in this country that according to a report that I saw the other day, the Qataris may have invested as much as a hundred billion dollars in their influence operations. In this nation that may cover some of their activities elsewhere around the world. I'm not sure, but I think the report indicated that was principally in this country and what they're getting for that money. In terms of law firms, in terms of media coverage, in terms of the academies, in terms of of course politicians as well.

[00:56:18] **Jim Simpson:** I can only say we'll find out. It's hard to know exactly where that money's going and who's getting it and what it's going to accrue for them. But I will say this, some of the decisions that President Trump has made recently in that regard. And for example, also with the communist Chinese making pronouncements like, oh, he'd love to have 600,000 Chinese students coming to universities in the United States, on their face. Those things are really questionable at the very best. And so you have to wonder what's going on, how who is advising him to do these things? Who is advising him or is he playing some kind of four dimensional chess? That we're not aware of. I know for example, like back in his first term, he embraced Kim Jong Un and said, oh, I love the guy. And now the trouble was with that, and it was a brilliant strategy. I thought, what could Kim Jung undo? The biggest, their biggest enemy suddenly just declares he's his best friend. And, it's a reverse psychology that the Soviets planned against us. Deny your enemy and enemy. And that's exactly what he did. And it worked brilliantly. And so for Trump's entire first term Kim Jong-Un was his best buddy. And he didn't do really much, if anything to provoke South Korea or anywhere else. Didn't launch missiles, didn't do anything like that. I'm not sure, and maybe Trump thinks he can just buy out some of these people. By making friends. But on those grounds, I believe he's sorely mistaken. And I believe in fact I've I know to a certain extent he's been getting some very bad advice and some of his advisors really need to be getting a closer look because,

those just that's just a couple of the things that are happening that I think are really going in the wrong direction that need to be corrected.

[00:58:31] **Frank Gaffney:** I'd be interested in everybody's view on this. What you've just described about Kim Jong-un is obviously a very different caliber of problem. There are true North, north Korean operations in this country, and there's yeah. Mr. Being made by them elsewhere, of course, as well. But in terms of the Muslim Brotherhood, Trevor, to you, this is an operation that if we profess or at least imply that the mothership is not a terrorist organization, only certain chapters of it are. What does that do to both legitimize the operation in this country and not, at least in terms of its desire and. Aggressive pursuit of dominating the Muslim community in this country, but also in terms of any efforts among others that Greg Abbott and his Department of Public Safety might make in trying to counter such groups

[00:59:30] **Trevor Loudon:** kneecaps. It doesn't it, when Black Lives Matter was rampaging around the country, a few low level people got arrested, but if they'd arrested the hundred or so leaders who were all communists tied to the Chinese consulate, you could have shut it down straight away. But that was never happened, designating Antifa as a terrorist organization. That's true, but they're the bottom right. They're the bottom of the. Rung your bottom of the ladder. There's a lot more powerful communists in America than Antifa. They're very low level. The the original Antifa was the street thug wing of the German Communist Party. You wanna shut down Antifa, you shut down the Communist Party. That's what you want to shut down Terrorism. You shut down the Muslim Brotherhood at the senior levels, but you got problems. Like Pam Bondi, the Attorney General was a lobbyist for Qatar. You know that, that should immediately disqualify her. Amen. You know how can you have someone who took money from a country that is a sponsor of the Muslim Brotherhood and expect her to lead the dismantling of the Muslim Brotherhood networks in this country? So we have to be very honest about this and confront the fact that this is, there's a, there's too much tinkering around the edges and not enough actual going for the jugular here. And that means, as Jim says there's advisors around Trump who shouldn't be there. Like we saw Witkoff the other night and and Trump's son-in-law talking about economic prosperity solves all problems. The mus don't care about economic prosperity. Vladimir Putin wants to be Peter the Great and Joseph Stalin roll into one. The CCP want to dominate the entire world. They don't care about hotels, they don't care about prosperity for their people. No economic prosperity doesn't solve all problems. And I think this is the kind of attitude you might get being a very successful businessman in New York. It doesn't transfer to the national, international stage. We are dealing with ideological enemies who

are not motivated by money. They're motivated by messianic complexes, they're motivated by Marxism, Leninism, and Eurasianism. And unless we deal at that level, we're wasting our time.

[01:02:05] **Frank Gaffney:** David I'd ask you the same question. This issue of, ideology, one of the reasons I led with this recollection of President Trump's then actually candidate Trump before he was president, the first time commitment to address the ideology of radical Islam, as he called it, in contrast to the embrace of it, that his rival at the time, Hillary Clinton and Home Aberdeen and all of her operations did as we recall so well, this issue of making. Clear that these are indeed enemies of our country and it seems to me to be an alterable necessity if we are to take appropriate action against them. And yet as Trevor was saying we are watching the extraordinary success of the qris, for example, to some extent the Turks as well. In among other things parlaying this effort to resuscitate Hamas into a new expanded role for them in Gaza and elsewhere in the region. All of this is, in part in the service of that radical Islamic ideology and the brotherhood with whom they're very closely associated. No.

[01:03:23] **David Yerushalmi:** What Trevor just identified and which you're now mentioning, is a key issue we see in, in the universities. We see in the business of Sharia compliant finance where banks are trying to abide by Sharia and offer these financial instruments that conform to Sharia. We see it in many different fields, the flood of money to buy support, to buy acquiescence and to bl buy blindfolds to just close your eyes, bury your head in the sand. And I think that it is true that in America, prosperity gets you a great distance to where you wanna go, but it is precisely not true in that part of the world that, have a deep and abiding faith in shadia. And it's call for a global caliphate through either persuasion, subjugation, or death in the form of jihad. It's the same problem that we experienced with the concept of nation building, right? George Bush two's first proclamation that he's going to go after terrorism, and those who support terrorism and provide shelter for terrorists. And, but then he came out in the midst of the second Iraq war, the Gulf War, with this concept that boils down to this notion, the painted finger, the purple finger. I think it was this notion that all people everywhere at all times seek nothing more than prosperity and freedom. But that is simply not the case in parts of the world that abide by a much different faith system than the one that we've cultured in the West, which is liberty and prosperity being the two fundamental pillars of our, western society. So if we understand the use of funds domestically by countries like Gutter and others, and we recognize that money doesn't have prosperity and economic development doesn't have the same pull as it does here in that part of the world we'll go a long way to, to, kind of riding the ship and understanding where we have to go from a

perspective to really identify who the enemies are and the methods and operational modalities that they use to achieve their end.

[01:06:14] **Frank Gaffney:** Yeah, so well said. David. Jim, I, I wrote a piece in the Gateway pundit over the weekend about president Trump is a post ideological president in a very ideological world. And I think David and Trevor have both spoken to this point that we delude our potentially perilously if we actually think that these other folks who are all about. An ideological attachment, whether it's to communism or whether it's to Jihadism, Sharia. What are your thoughts on the importance of understanding that is operating, and especially if we're to counter the threat we face from those who have that radical Islamic ideology as the president put it back in 2016?

[01:07:04] **Jim Simpson:** That was a brilliant article, Frank, I commented to you about it. I don't think that Trump is a post ideological president. I think he's always been a practical businessman. He's always been a conservative businessman, but I think in recent times, I think there's two things that have happened. One, he's been beaten to a pulp by these people relentlessly for 10 years. How many of us could put up with the stuff that his, he and his family have put up with for one week? None of the left could put up with it for a single day. They'd collapse out of exhaustion or die of a heart attack, and he's managed to put up with it for 10 years. But I think he's getting tired. And I also will repeat that he's getting very bad advice from a lot of very bad people. And I know that happens to be the truth and he needs to I think he should take a break. I think he should take a week off just to relax and get some rest and and kinda reel in those in sort of compulsive efforts. He makes to fix everything with, a tweet or a sentence or a grand proclamation. A lot of those things just don't work. They don't work with Russia, they're not gonna work with China, they're not gonna work with the with the Islamists. And I would just say one thing about all of those people. They wanna be rich. They very much wanna be rich. The difference is they could care less if any of their people have any benefit as a result. True. And them being rich means them being powerful. And as I've said, the Phoenix program in Vietnam was the most successful anti. North Vietnamese program that we launched. And it was because specifically we targeted the leadership. And the Phoenix program was probably more responsible than any other single program for pacifying. Most of South Vietnam, most people don't know that towards the end of the war, most of South Vietnam had the north had been driven out. And it wasn't until we withdrew and then Congress treason re removed its support for the South Vietnamese, which we had committed to that that the communists were able to return. Yeah. But the point is it David and Trevor have both said the exact same thing. You have to target the leadership.

The leadership are the ones who are guiding the ship. The rest are just going along, and the rest of 'em don't really even know what the actual agenda is. They've just been fed, aligned. And as we all know that at least in the, with the communists anyway, all of those radical leftists that are rioting in the street all of those people who are terrifying and threatening the stability of our country, they will outlive their usefulness. If these people take over, those people will be exterminated.

[01:10:19] **Frank Gaffney:** Yes,

[01:10:19] **Jim Simpson:** they

[01:10:19] **Frank Gaffney:** will.

[01:10:20] **Jim Simpson:** They will. And it's happened in every communist country. And as I write in my book, who was Karl Marx and then repeat again in my latest book *Manufactured Crisis*. That idea originated with Sergei Nev, who was a Russian nihilist, who explicitly outlined an order of execution in his document called *The Revolutionary Catechism*, which Lenin adopted for his

[01:10:54] **Frank Gaffney:** blueprint for and put into practice. Thank you for these important insights. The digression into Vietnam would be a subject for a whole nother day. I think one other factor was we left we agreed to allow several divisions of North Vietnamese army personnel in South Vietnam. And that that helped establish the new order. But let me conclude with asking Trevor Loudon, as I often do in these appearances. You have argued I think very persuasively that there is a technique at hand that we could use to roll up operations like the Muslim Brotherhood and for that matter, those who collaborate with it in government and in these other sectors of our society. Could you just do a quick word on that idea, Trevor, because I think it's appropriate and somewhat uplifting basis on which to conclude this otherwise very troubling conversation.

[01:11:52] **Trevor Loudon:** The Muslim Brotherhood and the Communist movement both act and sell structures and covertly very much like organized crime. Very much, and the leadership are protected by various layers of secrecy and threats and payoffs and whatever. So you, it's always hard to get to the leadership, but it's the leadership we have to take down. So we have to apply organized crime tactics. And the example I give is Thomas Dewey the Republican prosecutor who was brought in to take down Murder Inc. After World War in the thirties, I think it was. They were killing people all over the country. They had cops on the payroll. If you testified against them they would kill you. So they were doing what they wanted. So Joey formed an untouchable squad. He interviewed 12,000, I think it was, 1200 young men to get a tiny little squad. Then

he went after Murder Inc. Caught low level guys and offered them amnesty from prosecution if they would rat out their bosses. And he went right up the chain. And in two years, murder Inc. The leaders were on the electric chair and the organization was gone. So President Trump needs to set up a new operation, either independently or part of the FBI specifically to go after foreign infiltrators like the Muslim Brotherhood. And it's gotta be ver vetted top level guys from the IRS, sheriffs, Sheriff's Departments special forces, absolutely the best of the best. Then the president can declare an amnesty. Every foreign influence agent in the country, every foreign spy, every foreign trader. You've got three months to leave the country and never return. Because if we catch you at the end of three months, you are going to jail for the rest of your life. And there'll be no prisoner exchanges. You are done. Every domestic trader, every American citizen who's involved in these networks. You got three months to come forward too and spill your guts. Tell us everything you know, who paid you, who you paid, what you stole, who you influenced, et cetera. You would see. A massive meltdown on the Muslim Brotherhood networks in this country. The communist networks, many of them would instantly leave the country and some would come forward with the offer of amnesty. They would take the way out and they would start ratting on the people around them in exchange for the ability to stay in the country or escape prosecution, et cetera. Their punishment would be between them and God, but the evidence they would provide would give us so much to go after those who didn't come forward, who didn't leave the country. We could roll up virtually every foreign network in the country. The Iranian businessmen paying off. The Senator wouldn't know if the senator was wearing a wire and had taken a deal, and the Senate Senator wouldn't know if the Iranian. Had taken a deal as was wearing a wire. So that would cause a massive meltdown on these networks. And though those we ended up prosecuting and jailing for very long periods of time would provide the American public a deep understanding of how bad the subversion was. So they would support the security measures going forward to make sure it doesn't repeat, we are dealing with international organized crime. We have to use organized crime 1 0 1 tactics to take them down, catch the low level guys, offer them a way out if they'll rat on those above them and give people a way out. And form an elite unit to do that can't be penetrated. That's what I'm suggesting, would deal with both the Muslim Brotherhood, the communist networks, the Russian Cuban, Venezuela networks that are operating all over these country, this country right now. And the Chinese, of course, all of whom cooperate, all of whom cooperate.

[01:16:10] **Jim Simpson:** Can I add something to that Frank,

[01:16:12] **Frank Gaffney:** Quickly, if you would please. Yes.

[01:16:14] **Jim Simpson:** Yeah. In both of my red Green Access books, I identified the foundations that were funding this massive illegal immigration. What kind of those organizations should be prosecuted under racketeer Influence and Corrupt Organization Act statutes and have all of their assets confiscated and turned over to the Department of Justice Asset Forfeiture Fund robbing them of. Billions, perhaps trillions of dollars that they now use against the United States of America. And I'm not merely talking about George Soros foundations for the Open Society, but the Ford Foundation, the Carnegie, all of these different organizations are funding the Radical left. And I know there's a lot of other ways the Radical Left gets it, but those organizations are the ones who provide the seed money for a lot of what's going on. And we wipe out that supply for them. And that's another dimension of this battle that we must engage in. But it's a very important one could be done quickly and could really literally rob them of the resources they now use to attack our country and are trying to destroy it.

[01:17:32] **Frank Gaffney:** We have to leave it at that. David Ymi I know I could ask you about the finance thing of all of this, that Sharia compliant financing offers, but that's for another day. We will do a proper drill down on that with your help, if we may. But let just thank all of you David Yomi Robert Spencer, John Guandolo, Jim Simpson, and Trevor Loudon for an extraordinarily important conversation, as I hoped it would be about one of the most determinative challenges facing this presidency at the moment. And that is will we come to grips with, and as he put it, strip out and remove one by one the Muslim Brotherhood and its other like-minded Sharia supremacist. Entities seeking to destroy our country from within. We have no choice folks, but to come to grip with this or we are doomed to fall prepared to it. Thank you for joining us for this particular edition of our Victory Coalition briefings. I hope you will do as we treat you to do with each of them, share them, pass them on, and make sure that others need to know where we stand at the moment. And there, there is no substitute for victory. God bless you all.