

TRANSCRIPT

Victory Coalition Virtual Briefing

Kellogg-Briand 2.0: Delusional French Diplomacy Will Bring More War – Not Peace – Again

Frank Gaffney with Dr. David Wurmser, Mark Langfan, Amb. Yoram Ettinger, Daniel Greenfield, and Ken Abramowitz

Monday, July 28, 2025

<https://victoryco.org/briefing-kellogg-briand-2-0-delusional-french-diplomacy-will-bring-more-war-not-peace-again/>

Media File: Webinar_FRENCH_DIPLOMACY.wmv

BEGIN TRANSCRIPT:

Frank Gaffney: [00:00:10] Welcome to a very special briefing by our Victory Coalition, a team that has been assembled for the purpose of trying to, well, speak truth to power when it comes to matters involving the Middle East in particular, but really more generally, the problem we are confronting with enemies, foreign and domestic, seeking our destruction. We are specifically concerned about Sharia supremacism, as I call it, and the requirement that its adherents engage in jihad and the jihad that is upon us against Israel, quite notably as an outpost of Judeo-Christian civilization Nation in [00:01:00] the Middle East. And with no mistake about it, the rest of us. Elsewhere in Europe, notably here in the United States, elsewhere around the world, in the crosshairs of those who believe that it is their destiny to impose Islamic rule, Sharia, all over the planet. This is part of the agenda. Whether it is acknowledged as such or not, of those who are promoting at the moment the idea of creating yet another Sharia supremacist state in the Middle East, they call it a Palestinian state. No such state exists at the moment. No territory has been established to constitute such a state. No government exists to rule such a state. And yet we are being told, [00:02:00] and will be repeatedly in the context of an event taking place at the United Nations today and tomorrow in New York City, that we must have a Palestinian state. It is essential to peace is mostly what is being claimed, and that only when the Palestinians have a state of their own carved out of Gaza and Judea and Samaria, will they have their requirements satisfied for

dignity and economic opportunity, and therefore the conditions for peace be established? And absent that, there will only be endless war.

Frank Gaffney: [00:02:50] We've assembled a tremendously impressive panel to address the truth of these matters, the specifically the question of [00:03:00] whether a Palestinian state would in fact conduce to peace, or simply further, the truly endless war that has been waged against Judeo-Christian civilization in the West for over 1400 years now. We are going to be speaking first with a very familiar contributor to our victory coalition briefings. I'm proud to say Doctor David Wurmser. David is a man who has distinguished himself as a naval intelligence officer, but also as a civilian advisor to and Middle East expert, for an undersecretary of state, a national security advisor, and a vice president of the United States. He is going to set the context for us with a little Understanding of how we got to this point where there is now a an [00:04:00] imminent international conference that the United States is not going to participate in. I think to President Trump's great credit we'll be discussing the nature of this meeting, and that will open the door to a more in-depth conversation about why a Palestinian state is neither feasible or desirable, nor certainly conducive to peace. Doctor Wurmser, you are these days the director of Mideast policies at the center for Security Policy. We're very grateful to have you with us. The floor is yours, sir.

David Wurmser: [00:04:37] Thank you. Frank. Well, the Palestinian state issues, it's very interesting. On one level, it's a security issue. And we've dealt with the issue of security for a long time in the sense that comparing it to the Sudetenland, with Germany, with Germany and Czechoslovakia before 1938 39. That it's [00:05:00] such an essential part of the defense of the State of Israel. The territory, the geography, that any independent entity that arises there out of the security control of Israel is a mortal threat to the State of Israel. So that that's something that's been on the table for 30, 40 years. And by and large, the result of that analysis was, well, Israel. There's only one Israel. There needs to be one Jewish state. It needs to be able to defend itself. It's been aggressively attacked since 1948, and its population inside the Land of Israel has been attacked really since the early 20s by movements aligned with axes of evil in the world, whether it's the Nazis and the Soviet Union later and so forth. So it was a it was a no brainer that we do not want a hostile, anti-Western, anti-American state, a rising in geography [00:06:00] that poses a mortal threat to an ally. An ally who with whom we have moral commitments, strategic interests, and so forth. And that argument was very

important, and it was very effective. For most of Israel's history. But now we've got another problem, which is the fundamental collapse in the West of an understanding of itself.

David Wurmser: [00:06:26] And Israel now assumes, therefore, an importance. And, and the West Bank, Judea and Samaria especially, but also Gaza, assumes an importance much greater than the Sudetenland did to Czechoslovakia. Sudetenland was that mountainous area on the border with Germany. Germans claimed self-determination for Germans eventually forced the with, with the British forced to retreat from that area, and that left Czechoslovakia undefended. And in [00:07:00] a year later, Hitler just brushed aside the agreement and invaded the rest of Czechoslovakia. And that's kind of the model. But what's happening here is it's actually more important because while Czechoslovakia should have been important for the Western defense, and Israel is understood to be important, there's a larger problem here, which is a lot of people are now arguing, well, if Israel is destroyed, who cares? I mean, at the end of the day, they're an evil country. In fact, they're a colonial country. So, in fact, our relations with the rest of the world are really dependent now on transcending Israel, on getting over it. So the very argument of Israel's security is no longer a valid argument for all too many people in the West. So I think what you're really seeing there with the Palestinian issue, and specifically the concept of a Palestinian state, is actually the micro, the microcosm [00:08:00] of a larger collapse of the West's understanding of itself. The idea that the Jews can be wiped out, that the cradle of Western civilization, it's one of the three pillars, the pillars being Rome, Jerusalem and Athens, that one of the three pillars can simply be turned over to those who want to destroy the West, and the West will be better for it.

David Wurmser: [00:08:24] And the destruction, essentially the genocide of Israel in the process is an acceptable or a digestible or a plausibly deniable outcome, namely an outcome that we can say we don't really want. But in effect, we're taught we're setting the stage for this suggests a much greater collapse of Western understanding of itself, and from that you also understand how the Palestinian issue has become the central issue through which radical forces around the world, whether it's the Muslim Brotherhood, [00:09:00] the CCP or what's left of the KGB structures, Iran have been essentially using that issue to burrow into Western soul and undermine the Western soul from within. So it's no accident that the that the moment that you see the

delegitimization of Israel as a nation really taking off and annulling the security argument, you will also see the sudden meteoric rise of anti-Semitism in Europe. It's risen on the left. It's very it's raging on the left. But now we also see it arising in certain elements of, of groups that call themselves the right. Although many of them had just been on the left until a couple of years ago. But at any rate, you do see this rise. And it's a common theme that Western civilization is rotten. Western civilization has brought upon [00:10:00] itself the anger against it. The Western and Western civilization is illegitimate.

David Wurmser: [00:10:05] And it and the mechanism for establishing that is the support for Israel. The Israel question as a whole. So Israel really in the Palestinian issue, really has become the fundamental battlefield for the West's defense of its own soul. I would argue one step further, which is we're seeing what happened in Soweto with the Druze, I think is far more important than people are ascribing importance to why do I say that? I think we have to come to terms with the fact that Christianity in the Middle East, which is the cradle of Christianity, which matters because it really determines for the Muslim world, for the Muslim Brotherhood, especially the resilience of the West to defend itself in Europe, in the United States, [00:11:00] where they're launching a challenge. If we're not able to defend the survival of Christian communities in their in their cradle then the confidence, the civilizational or anti-civilizational confidence of those who will destroy the West is inflamed. It's encouraged. It's deeply radicalized and made very confident. And so what we see now is Israel has become essentially the only mechanism to stand between the rise of the Muslim Brotherhood oriented organizations, whether it's Hamas, whether it's the rising Muslim Brotherhood influence in Egypt. Whether it's the taking over through a neo Umayyad caliphate in Damascus of a Muslim Brotherhood spun off organization Erdogan's Muslim Brotherhood orientation, the increasing pressures on the Jordanian [00:12:00] government, and the increasing slaughter of Christians that comes with all that. What we're seeing, essentially, is Christianity is entering its last stand in the region, and the Christians in the region do not have sufficient power to defend themselves.

David Wurmser: [00:12:16] And then there's Israel, who now is beginning to show that it is the only mechanism that can defend minority communities in the East, in the western end of the Fertile Crescent, in the littoral, the eastern littoral of the Mediterranean, which again is the cradle of Western civilization. So if we look at all this

in a civilizational point of view, not only is it imperative to bat down the drive toward a Palestinian state right now or permanently. But it's very important instead to start talking about resurrecting the idea of Lebanon as a Christian state. And the other minorities in the region also protected. [00:13:00] And to help Israel strengthen itself as really the umbrella of protection, the only available umbrella of protection for Western civilization in that part of the Middle East. And that doesn't even raise the issue of Israel essentially being the force that that that allows the United States to spend less effort in the region because it fills the gap between American reduction of effort and continued American dominance of the region. But I'll let I'll let Yoram Ettinger deal with that. He wrote a brilliant article, just or report just recently on the contribution of Israel to that. But I'll leave. I'll leave my remarks to that so others get. Get enough time to say what they have to say.

Frank Gaffney: [00:13:49] Thank you, Doctor Warner. A very, very important context for all of this. And I did want to mention at the outset something I neglected to say, but you brought [00:14:00] it up with your reference to the Sudetenland. That, of course, was done in 1938, ten years before. Again under the French government's initiative, a diplomatic exercise was embarked upon that came to be known as the Kellogg-Briand pact. In 1928 it was signed and banned. War. Remember that as we think about what the French are up to now, that was obviously an abysmal Dismal failure. Ten years later, war was upon the continent of Europe. It had broken out in other parts of the world before, but something unleashed on the world that came to be known as World War Two marked the most horrific conflict of all time to date, thank God. This is the context I think we [00:15:00] have to be mindful of as we think about another delusional French diplomatic undertaking. We're going to turn next to Mark Langford. Mark is a man I've come to know for, well, decades for his enterprising efforts to educate people about literally, the ground truth concerning the Middle East, specifically the topography of the regions that might make up a so-called Palestinian state if the French and their ilk have their way. He is a real estate magnate by avocation, but his true passion is explaining to people the realities of topography and geography more generally, as they relate to the prospects [00:16:00] for another jihadist state in the region being anything other than a disaster. For all of the interests that David Wurmser has just so brilliantly described. Mark Langford, we're very excited to have you with us. Thank you for taking a few minutes and bringing some audio visual aids to help make your points.

Mark Langfan: [00:16:24] First of all, Frank, thank you so much. And thank the panel and anybody listening. I've got a short amount of time, so I'd like to maximize and lever a couple of maps and diagrams that I've created to really give people a pretty good understanding in a pretty short amount of time, to not only understand, but hopefully be able to convey an understanding and advocacy for [00:17:00] Israel. And in fact, if for the greater Western civilization, as David so aptly put it really starts with almost the exact question David just posed why do we care about Israel at all? Who cares? And why should we care about this little colonial outpost? This is a map that sort of sums up exactly where we are. This is sort of my elevator pitch for Israel. I have different length pitches, but this is sort of the three floor elevator pitch for Israel. If you look at the upper left corner, you'll see a blue dotted line. To the upper left of that blue dotted line is a country called Greece. Now Greece has a total population of about 11 million Christians. At least ostensibly, [00:18:00] is defended by an organization called NATO that we all know and mostly love. So you have this little Greece that's got 11 million Christians in the upper left hand part of your screen, in the bottom right of the blue line. You've got what was about seven years ago, 487 million Muslims in states that are variously either terrorist states, bubble terrorist states, Dates, Petro states that could be gone tomorrow. And the simple question is between this amalgam of Muslim terror states, i.e. bubble states, what is the only non-Muslim country between 400 and 87 million Muslims and the 11 million Christians in Greece? [00:19:00] And the answer is Israel.

Mark Langfan: [00:19:03] So now we understand why Israel is important. You can see the bottom left is where the Katyusha were mostly fired. The smallish rockets from Gaza. If you were to create a Palestinian state in the West Bank, it's the area above to the middle right of the map. Now, that area would put 70% of Israel's population and 80% of her industrial base. Inside of this small terrorist type of rocket that we saw can not only be fired one at a time, but can be fired in what is a hailstorm against the population centers of Israel. So you would be basically turning the West Bank into a Gaza times [00:20:00] 15. And that is what a Palestinian state would be, where right now around Gaza, you have a very small population center, relatively speaking, maybe 5 to 10, 5% of Israel's population is within these rockets from Gaza. But within the range of the West Bank, you've got 70 to 80% of Israel's population inside of these rockets. Why do rockets have anything to do with Israel having attacked Iran's nuclear facility? It's basically David Ignatius, in his article said that the reason why Israel was really

prompted to attack Iran was Iran was developing a EMP weapon that it was anticipating using against Israel. It basically explains that Iran was intending to use what would really [00:21:00] have been a quote unquote, Sharia compliant EMP device that didn't kill anybody, but merely wiped out all of Israel's electronics. That, in fact, was Israel's only defense against all of these Katyusha and other missiles. So if you combine the World War Two Katyusha with the World War three decapitation, EMP, you have a prescription for Israel's annihilation.

Frank Gaffney: [00:21:32] Mark. Thank you. This is extremely powerful. And this was what I was hoping you would convey is a sense of the literal lay of the land. As advertised, we're very proud to be able to present once again, Ambassador Yoram Ettinger, to help us understand both the context again of the negotiations or the discussions that will be taking place at the UN over the next two days, but also what [00:22:00] he does exceptionally well. Born in part of his long experience as a diplomat for Israel in the United States, specifically with the portfolio of liaison with the United States Congress, is help us understand what all these nostrums about peace. If only Israel carves up its land and gives it to well, jihadists would mean for the United States as well as, of course, Israel. Mr. ambassador, we appreciate your returning. Thank you for what you do with your Edinger report. The floor is now yours.

Yoram Ettinger: [00:22:41] My privilege to be in this session. Americans should not should not approach the proposed Palestinian state as honest brokers, impartial brokers. They should not approach it as pro Israelis or pro Palestinians. [00:23:00] They should approach it as American patriots who are concerned about American owned interest. Moreover anyone who considers the proposed Palestinian state should or is facing a challenge to overcome the temptation set by an alternate speculative, positive scenario or reality over the actual Middle East reality. When it comes to alternate speculative reality, we keep hearing from State Department folks, the State Department establishment, from the so-called elite media, and certainly from West European policymakers, that a Palestinian state would be a reformed [00:24:00] Palestinian state, a state which would abide by peaceful coexistence, would abandon its vision, which mandates Palestinians to uproot the so-called illegitimate Jewish Zionist entity and would be a good faith Negotiator. Now, that sounds great. There is a problem. And the problem is that we're not dealing with speculation. We're dealing with reality. And on this issue, we see a remarkable difference between the attitude or the conduct of western

West European policymakers and the vast majority of the State Department establishment New York Times, Washington Post, CNN, MSNBC, etc., which [00:25:00] has embraced genuinely the proposed Palestinian state. That's on the one hand. On the other hand, you see Arab leaders and Arab leaders since 1948, since 1948, have limited themselves to talking positively about the proposed Palestinian state, but refraining from any tangible or effective work.

Yoram Ettinger: [00:25:31] In fact the late King Hussein of Jordan, upon hearing the Oslo Accord, the Oslo agreement, which relocated the PLO from Tunisia to the heartland of the land of Israel to Judea, Samaria, to Gaza East Jerusalem. He called the head of the Israeli Mossad at that time, [00:26:00] Shabtai Shavit, and yelled at him, why are you sentencing me, the Hashemite regime to death? Don't you know that a Palestinian state west of the river would doom us? The Hashemites, east of the river? That statements were echoed Also in October of 1994, during the ceremony of signing the Israel Jordan Peace Accord with Prime Minister Rabin and King Hussein and President Clinton in attendance, and at that time the top tier of the Jordanian military approached their Israeli colleagues once again, warning them that if Israel allows a Palestinian state west of the river, it's going to doom the Hashemite [00:27:00] regime, the pro-Western, the US Hashemite regime. Anyone who is familiar with the demography, the ethnicity, the religious structure, the tribal structure, the recent history of the Hashemite Kingdom of Know Jordan would know. Why are the Hashemites so concerned? And again, irrespective of their public statements, and certainly when you consider today the increasing efforts by the Ayatollah regime to topple the Hashemite regime in Jordan, combined with the Muslim Brotherhood very strong presence in Jordan and again attempting systematically to destabilize to topple the Hashemite regime, reinforced [00:28:00] by Palestinian terror organizations in Jordan, exacerbated by 2 million Syrian refugees in northern Jordan.

Yoram Ettinger: [00:28:12] Many of them are negative elements terrorists, drug traffickers, certainly not allies of the Hashemite regime. And you add to that the highly fragmented Bedouin community, which is the power base of the Hashemite. The outcome of a Palestinian state is very obvious. Toppling the Hashemite regime in Jordan. Once you topple the Hashemite regime in Jordan, you transform Jordan into a lawless state, an uncontrollable state, a chaotic state in the vein of Libya Lebanon, Syria, Iraq Yemen, [00:29:00] which would transform Jordan into another platform of

anti-Western, anti-U.S. Islamic terrorism, some terrorist supported by Iran, some terrorists supported by ISIS, some terrorist supported by Muslim Brotherhood, some by Palestinians, and it's not going to be limited only to Jordanian territory. It's going to create to trigger a very powerful ripple effect. First of all south western side towards the Sinai Peninsula and from there into Egypt, where the Muslim Brotherhood are going to benefit greatly from the transformation of Jordan into a terrorist state threatening to topple the pro US Sisi regime in [00:30:00] Egypt. And certainly, certainly ripple effects are going to reach the southern frontier or southern border of Jordan, the Arabian Peninsula, threatening the survival of every single pro US oil producing Arab regime Saudi Arabia, the UAE, Bahrain and even Kuwait and Oman. And we are talking about an area which is not only the number one epicenter of anti-American Islamic terrorism, aiming to reach all the way to the US homeland.

Yoram Ettinger: [00:30:42] But we're talking about an aerial platform of 48% of global oil reserves, which could be transformed as far as control from U.S. control to anti-U.S. control [00:31:00] benefiting China, Russia, the Ayatollah regime, the Muslim Brotherhood, and dealing a major setback to the US economy. The price at the at the pump. But moreover, Arabian Peninsula is a critical intersection of trade routes between Asia and West Europe. This would also threaten the economy of Europe. Arabs are aware of that potential, and therefore they limit their support to pro-Palestinian talk, but not work. Moreover, and lastly, they are also aware that historically, systematically, the Palestinian leadership always but always sided with anti-Western radical elements in the world. It was Nazi Germany [00:32:00] then. It was the Soviet bloc. Then it was Ayatollah Khomeini. Then it was the Palestinians training Latin American terrorist, European, Asian, African Terrorists certainly close ties with North Korea, Venezuela, Cuba. And that is the reason why the crown prince of Saudi Arabia has not flexed his military or political or even financial flex muscles. To an extent that would be a game changer on the Palestinian issue. And they know a Palestinian state would benefit the arch enemies of moderate Arab regimes, and would only provide a tailwind to the radical elements adding [00:33:00] fuel, not water, to the Middle East fire.

Frank Gaffney: [00:33:04] Thank you, Mr. Ambassador. What an extraordinarily important point, this seeming interest of the Saudis in joining forces with the French to host this conference is belied by the actual history, the record of Saudi conduct with respect to the Palestinians. At best, it is tepid support other than rhetorical, and at worst

it is downright hostility to the idea for all the reasons that you've just mentioned. One can never go wrong assuming that there is a measure of cynicism in diplomacy, as many of our guests, including you, Mr. Ambassador, with a long and distinguished record of diplomatic conduct, can appreciate especially we're going to turn next to Daniel Greenfield. He is the newly appointed CEO of a wonderful [00:34:00] organization, the David Horowitz Freedom Center. He is one of the most, I think, astute analysts of grand strategy and its application. Especially as it relates to America's vital interest in the Middle East and in particular, the Alliance. And I use that term advisedly in our program last week in which we talked about a number of changing aspects of the Middle East conflict. The point was made that there are some who are suggesting that Israel is not an ally. David excuse me. Daniel Greenfield of the David Horowitz Freedom Center understands extremely well why that is not so. And we've asked him to speak to both Israeli and American and Judeo-Christian civilizations interest more generally in this proposition [00:35:00] that what the world needs now is another terrorist state. Daniel Greenfield, welcome back. It's good to have you with us. Over to you, sir.

Daniel Greenfield: [00:35:08] Thank you so much. The bottom line is, and this is really the basic question that needs to be asked is why now? Why is there an urgency to create a so-called Palestinian state right now? And the obvious answer is what has happened in the last few years? October 7th has happened. Creating a Palestinian state is a reward for the terrorist attacks of October 7th. More specifically, it's a sustained effort by various countries the Macron regime, the Saudis and others to shield to shield the terrorist state in Gaza and the West Bank from any kind of accountability. It provides international protection for those states to be able to carry out attacks against Israel, and then to be able to treat them as a state and not a state at the same time. So they're like a terrorist group. They're not going to be accountable for the terrorist attacks that they [00:36:00] engage in, as we've seen in Gaza. But at the same time they're going to have the state immunity from being attacked. Israel is not going to have freedom of action in the West Bank and Gaza, but at the same time, those terrorists will have freedom of action. And this is the kind of thing you do to countries that you are trying to significantly undermine and frankly, destroys what the Arab countries, Arab Muslim countries used to try to do to Israel by using the so-called Palestinians as a Trojan horse for precisely this purpose, endorsing a so-called two state solution, and actually trying to recognize a terrorist state is an attempt to basically bring Israel to the brink of destruction.

Daniel Greenfield: [00:36:40] Now, there's a lot of talk about recognizing a Palestinian state that is non-terrorist. There is no such thing. More specifically, there is no state that is not Hamas, because one of the things that nobody involved in these conferences wants to talk about is that actually leaders of the PLO, of Hamas, Islamic Jihad, other terrorist groups [00:37:00] had a series of meetings in Beijing in Moscow under the auspices of Vladimir Putin under the auspices of the Chinese Communist regime, and they signed their unity agreement. Like a lot of these agreements, it's not necessarily worth all that much. But the basic idea of these agreements was that there was an intent to create a unified terrorist state. This was happening post October 7th, post the war. So the Palestine, the so-called Palestinian Authority, which is really the PLO, Fatah, however you want to slice that particular onion, has made it very clear on numerous occasions that they actually are aligned with Hamas. In the broader picture, they would actually like to be the ones completely in charge, but they are aligned with Hamas.

Daniel Greenfield: [00:37:41] They are pursuing a unity deal with Hamas, which means that recognizing any kind of Palestinian state is recognizing a Hamas terrorist state. Any of the talk about what Gaza would look like without Hamas is rather low on details. It's rather hollow because there [00:38:00] really is no plan for a non-Hamas state. What there is, is a plan for some sort of means of laundering a Hamas state. Some sort of process where, let's say Hamas claims to disarm by handing over its guns to itself. You know, there would be a the police in Gaza who are Hamas and the Hamas talks are going to hand over the guns to the Hamas terrorists, at which point the Hamas terrorist will become the Gaza police. Some sort of fiction like that in which the Palestinian Authority will assume some sort of nominal role in practice again. Hamas will be in charge. That's the only possible arrangement that is going to function here. And everybody knows that the 99% of the talk about a so-called Palestinian state consists of condemnations of Israel. 1% consists of any kind of talk of any kind of viable state of what that would look like. The bottom line is there's never been any kind of functional state, and there's been decades in which they could have built a at least some sort of functional state.

Daniel Greenfield: [00:38:57] Even if they wanted to continue fighting and destroying [00:39:00] Israel, they could have always put in some basic effort to conduct

maintenance, to take on responsibilities, to take on some of the roles of a state beyond just again, attacking Israel. And for the most part, they've been perfectly happy allowing Israel to run quite a lot of things. They've been happy outsourcing most of the work to the international community, to international organizations like the UN which is itself a convoluted money laundering arrangement in which we fund services through the UN and the UN hires locals who are aligned with the terrorists, including Hamas, particularly we've seen in Gaza. So effectively, we are not only providing them with services, we're paying them to run the services which they fail to adequately provide. And then they cry famine. And they cry our sewage system doesn't work. We have no ability to function because, well, you know Israel is in some way interfering with the implementation by the international community [00:40:00] of the services we refuse to provide for ourselves. This is the forest that's been going on for a while, and it shows quite clearly that there is no actual functional state. There isn't even a unified government. No. Even without the whole question of this is a terrorist data one.

Daniel Greenfield: [00:40:14] So destroy Israel. They have not actually put any effort into building any kind of functional state. So what is statehood worry about? Again, as I said at the very beginning, it is about providing immunity for the terrorists from accountability. It means that Hamas, it means that various elements in the West Bank will be able to continue attacking Israel. And when Israel responds, there will be a response will be, well, this is a state. You cannot just go ahead and invade the state because we have recognized the state. But statehood comes with responsibilities. It comes with responsibilities for your own people. It comes with responsibilities for your neighbors. And none of those responsibilities are actually being adhered. And none of those responsibilities are going to be imposed on the terrorist regimes in the West Bank and Gaza, which means this is an operation to undermine Israel, to provide cover for the terrorists [00:41:00] going to war against it. It should be ignored as well. Obviously, in the rest of the civilized world, especially the United States, should ignore any effort at recognizing a state, and any discussion about a two state solution should begin with the question of what is the PLO? What is Hamas doing to actually prepare for any kind of statehood, even beyond this? And the answer is they are not.

Frank Gaffney: [00:41:19] Daniel, thank you for an extraordinarily important conversation starter for us in the round of questions that we'll have in a moment. Especially appreciate the idea that this is something that we have actually seen

demonstrated by what has been effectively a Palestinian state in Gaza for decades. And all the things that you forecast would happen next have happened there. Finally, we're going to hear from Ken Abramowitz. One of the great dot connectors of our time. He's a very successful healthcare investor as well [00:42:00] as a leader in the analysis of that particular sector. But he's also, thank God, very much devoted to analyzing and helping the rest of us understand grand strategy and what is afoot. And I thought it would be important to hear from him, because one of the things that Ken has well, assiduously tried to address and get the rest of us to do the same is the connection between those who are promoting what I think of as Shariah supremacism under various guises in this case Hamas and the Palestinian Authority notably, but also the role played by others, in particular the communists, as well as the globalists, notably, again, of the United Nations ilk. Ken Abramowitz, we're delighted to have you with us. The floor is [00:43:00] now yours.

Speaker6: [00:43:01] Great to be with you, as always. I thought in five minutes I'll try to tie together what my various friends said. I have six thoughts today. All within five minutes. Number one, looking at it as a religious issue. God gave Israel to the Jews. It's in the Torah. It's like a contract that's in the Torah. That's a religious argument for why Israel has to remain in control of Judea and Samaria and Gaza forever. Number two, Jews have been living here for 3800 years. So Jews have a historical claim to be in Israel. By the way, Americans have been in America for 400 years, and Russians and French and Brits have been in their countries for a thousand years. So Israel has more claims than any of those countries. Number three, Israel has to be in control of [00:44:00] Judea and Samaria and Gaza forever for just national security issues, to protect itself from terror organizations. Because if Israel's not here, then the terrorists are there by definition. Number four, no one ever mentions this, but a Palestinian state is unfair to Arabs. We saw that in Gaza. The number one victim of Hamas, with the Arabs living in Gaza, because none of these terror organizations care about their people and Arabs. Hey, pay the price. Another way of saying the same thing. The number one victim of Islamism. No one usually mentions that. So issue number for a Palestinian state is unfair to Arabs. As we saw in Gaza. The number one victim of Hamas, where the Arabs who lived in Gaza. Another way of saying the same thing.

Speaker6: [00:44:58] The number one victim of Islamism [00:45:00] are Muslims, and so no one ever mentions it. But a Palestinian state is unfair to Arabs. Number five in the

Middle East, there's two elephants in the Middle East. I call them Islamo-Nazi death cults. We have the Sunni Islamo-Nazi death cult run by Qatar and Turkey in the Muslim Brotherhood. And then we have the Shiite death cult run by Iran. These two death cults will fill any void left over by any withdrawal of Israel from any place, or America from any place. And we saw that graphically in Syria, that when the Iranian Islamo-Nazi death cult was pushed out, like the next day, the Sunni death cult came in. So that's graphic evidence of that. And finally, let me mention the sixth point, which is not often mentioned. I have an expression that [00:46:00] President Trump can fix any problem in five minutes if it gets to his desk. The trouble he has is there's 975 problems in the world, and they don't all get to his desk and his attention. But any country like France, any Christian country announcing that it supports a Palestinian state, he should immediately impose sanctions on the government, sanctions on the leaders themselves. There should be an extra tariff, antisemitism tariff. There should be an extra anti-Christian tariff. And President Trump should formally call for regime change in France and formally put American power behind regime change of this corrupt government. Those are six thoughts that I had trying to tie together my thoughts and our other friends this morning.

Frank Gaffney: [00:46:57] Ken, thank you so much. Would you just say a word to [00:47:00] start off our conversation about the role of the UN, specifically in this conference and in this enterprise? The Organization of Islamic Cooperation, of course, is the largest bloc of voting members, its influence on the globalists, and vice versa.

Speaker6: [00:47:21] But the United Nations is a criminal organization. It has no right to exist anymore. By the way, I discussed this and many other issues in my recent fourth edition of my book, *The Multi Front War*, and I discussed that President Trump has to get involved in regime change around the world. We cannot sit back and let criminal organizations like the UN and countries run by criminal organizations like France and England. Destroy Western civilization. We just. And then. Then we're going to fight them in Atlanta. So I'm suggesting [00:48:00] a much more proactive role for America. So America should start immediately reducing its payments to the United Nations. I think we're almost 25% of the UN. We should demand a new president. Gutierrez should be fired and then arrested for providing material support for terrorism. So I'm a proponent of a much, much more active President Trump, because he's basically our only hope

right now. He's he can fix many of these problems. We can talk about the problems, but he has the power to actually fix them.

Frank Gaffney: [00:48:31] Thank you Ken. And I neglected to mention I apologize. You are the driving force behind citizens for security and Save the West, two tremendously important resources. David Wurmser, let me pick up with you. This conference, which will be taking place over the next two days, of course, comes against the backdrop of growing outrage over what is said to be the [00:49:00] starvation by Israel of the Palestinians, and this becomes a new pretext for giving them a state. Could you just say a word quickly about the ground truth on that point, and whether it somehow alters all of the things that you have each made clear of, argues against a Palestinian state?

David Wurmser: [00:49:22] Well, yeah. I mean, the ground truth on all these images of starvation and children dying and so forth is there is no ground truth to it. It is with examination of each and every single image in case a backstory emerges that makes it either something that happened in Yemen where a child was cystic fibrosis and therefore was emaciated because of that illness and actually had gained weight in the last two months, in part under help from Israeli medical intervention and so forth. In other words, these images were lies, and many [00:50:00] of the news outlets that portrayed them and continue to portray them are willfully now lying. And I think we're getting now to the point where the elites that are behind those organizations, whether it's The New York Times or BBC or so forth, I've never seen in my life a concerted campaign of saturation, of lying, willful lying. We know, we know it's willful. Because how many times have we heard Gaza Health Ministry said it shows up as a headline in the West in the New York Times or BBC, only to be disproven 2 or 3 days later is a complete invention. There isn't even a kernel of truth to it. And yet those lies stand. And the Gaza Health Ministry continues to be regarded as a greater source of information than the IDF, which has yet really to be caught in any significant lie. So it's just simply if you're [00:51:00] following somebody who always lies proven and you don't follow somebody who tells the truth, then you are participating willfully in a lie.

David Wurmser: [00:51:09] And I think this gets to the Kellogg-Briand pact that you said which is the central problem of the Kellogg-Briand pact, was law abiding nations abide by law. So you ban war. Law abiding nations engage in pacifism and rule out their ability to go to war, whereas nations like Hitler or like the Hamas, like Hamas or the

Muslim Brotherhood based nations, Qatar and so forth, they have no regard for international law. No, they're not law abiding. At the end of the day, they're out to upturn law. So you can't ban Band lore. A band wore by a legal system that half these people reject and actually look at, and they look at you as weak for submitting to such, and it actually encourages them. So the Kellogg-Briand pact [00:52:00] actually was an incitement to Hitler to do what he did. It encouraged him. It showed the West is weak. And that gets to the central point. I think that that Ken brought up, which is regime change, it's really elite change. The European elites need to change. And that really gets to Vice President Vance's statement in Europe a couple months ago, that was the most pro-European statement out there because he was saying Western civilization, European civilization is under threat by its elites, save it. So I think Ken is on to something that at this point, these elites have failed to defend the West. They have become agents of the destruction of West, the need to be replaced.

Frank Gaffney: [00:52:47] And I think what we're discussing here today, of course, is evidence that the elites in the West are helping to try to engineer.

David Wurmser: [00:52:57] Engaging in.

Frank Gaffney: [00:52:58] A new threat, a new threat to [00:53:00] the West, as well as civilization at its core. Mark Langford, one of the points that you've made in the past, and I just wanted to ask you to briefly speak to, is this issue that the land that would be part of this Palestinian state, carved out of Judea and Samaria, would be high ground? And what the implications are of that, not just for the positioning of Katyusha rockets, because, of course, as everybody knows, a demilitarized Palestinian state is a nonstarter, but also for water vital to the survival of the State of Israel.

Mark Langfan: [00:53:45] The West Bank is not exactly the bank of the Jordan River. People really don't understand it. It's actually a sort of a mini mountain [00:54:00] range where if you look at this, this is the bank of the Jordan, and then you climb a very steep mount relatively, you know, with a rise and run, it's extremely steep to a crest line. And then from that crest line you go west to Tel Aviv. Now, what happens is this west area here actually serves as one of Israel's main aquifers. Now the key aspect here is with the discovery of oil of gas. Israel has essentially embarked on a desalinization campaign, which to some extent has reduced the importance of this aquifer, except

[00:55:00] to the extent that it is still important. And also, not only does fresh water go downhill. The west side of the West Bank is where most of the Palestinians live, because it's really too steep to live on the eastern slope of the West Bank. What's going on is all of the Palestinian refuse water is actually going to Israel and corrupting its aquifers.

Frank Gaffney: [00:55:28] Mark. Thank you. This is existential. If it is allowed to continue, let alone metastasize, as part of a Palestinian assault on Israel. You're a messenger. One of the things that there is talk of, as I understand it in the government of Israel at the moment, is the idea of annexing formally the areas of Gaza, excuse me, of Judea and Samaria, which presumably would put to an end permanently this notion that there's [00:56:00] going to be some carving up and relinquishing it to the Palestinians. Could you give us just a quick assessment of where that stands, and would that, in fact be the ultimate antidote to the conference and its agenda?

Yoram Ettinger: [00:56:14] The mountain ranges of Judea, Samaria to Israel would have a similar but much greater impact than annexing the Golan Heights. And I mentioned that from the American, not Israeli, perspective. When President Trump recognized the Golan Heights as an integral part of Israel. He did it because of the positive effect. The positive impact on advancing American interest. Israel's control of the Golan Heights, which is a tri border area between Israel, Syria and Jordan, serves as the most critical defense line [00:57:00] of the U.S. Hashemite regime in Jordan. Israel's control of the mountain regions of Judea Samaria serves as a super a super first line of defense for the Hashemite regime in Jordan. If you look for American interest in the Middle East, the number one feature of Israel, which has transformed Israel into a unique force multiplier for the US has been Israel's posture of deterrence, which was almost non-existent until 1967, and Israel until 67 was perceived to be a burden on American interests. A liability for America came 1967, because of Israel's control [00:58:00] of the mountain ridges of the Golan Heights in the north and Judea and Samaria in the center. That transformed Israel into an invaluable strategic asset for the US, deterring terrorism, deterring wars, or I should say, minimizing the threat of war and terrorism and serving as a very critical defense line for the Hashemite regime in Jordan, for Israel to retreat from Judea and Samaria, from the mountains of Judea and Samaria, would mean for the US to lose a very, very, I would say, irreplaceable strategic asset, which means Israel's posture of deterrence.

Yoram Ettinger: [00:58:53] I would compare it to cutting off the nose to spite [00:59:00] the face. And the US should not cut off its nose to spite its face. And one more element here when one refers to the pro-Palestinian state elements as being addicted to speculative alternate reality, it means, among other things, that they ignore willingly, enthusiastically the track record of the Palestinians And subordinate track record to wishful thinking. The track record of the Palestinians has rendered them transform them into a role model of intra Arab subversion, intra Arab terrorism, and intra Arab treachery. 1950s. They were hosted by Egypt but [01:00:00] collaborated with Muslim Brotherhood, terrorizing their host country. They had to run away from Egypt to Syria. They were allowed to terrorize Israel from Syria. But after a few years, they joined forces with the Muslim Brotherhood of Syria, terrorizing their own Arab host government, and they had to run away from Syria to Jordan. They were allowed to terrorize Israel, but by 1970, they tried to take over control of Jordan, toppling the Hashemite regime which triggered a civil war. Black September and they had to run away from Jordan to Lebanon. They plundered southern Lebanon. Then they moved on to Beirut. They triggered a number of civil wars in Syria. They were the cause for the Christians [01:01:00] to plead with Syria to invade militarily Lebanon in order to snatch the Syrians, the Christians, from the jaws of the PLO.

Yoram Ettinger: [01:01:12] And at the end they were expelled from Lebanon in 1982. And last and not least, in 1990, when Saddam Hussein invaded Kuwait, which at that time was the most generous Arab host of Palestinians, absorbing some 400,000 Palestinians. The same Palestinians stabbed the back of their house. Kuwait joining the invasion by Saddam Hussein, heralding Saddam Hussein as a modern day Salahuddin, the mythological Muslim military leader. And that was the reason for Sheikh Sabah of Kuwait upon being [01:02:00] restored to power by the Americans. The first thing he did was expelling almost all 400,000 Palestinians from Kuwait. The Arabs are aware of it. The Arabs do not forget. The Arabs do not forgive, and they dispense with talk, which is very cheap in the Middle East. In the Middle East they say on words one does not pay customs, so why not talk? But they do not work. And one example. One sentence. November 2023. The height of the current Israel-Hamas War. Saudi Arabia hosted the joint Summit Arab League and the World Organization of Islamic Countries. The Palestinians, Iran and Algeria proposed a resolution to stop right away all diplomatic, [01:03:00] financial, defense and touristic ties with Israel. The resolution was defeated

by Saudi Arabia, the UAE, Bahrain, Jordan, Egypt, Morocco, South Sudan and few other non-Arab countries. Because they do not want to take any tangible work on behalf of a Palestinian state, which would be Destructive as far as moderate pro US Arab regimes.

Frank Gaffney: [01:03:34] Thank you, Mr. Ambassador. Again, your corporate memory on all of this is absolutely indispensable to making sense of what's going on at the moment, especially, you know, the doublespeak and the obviously fraudulent espousal of a Palestinian state by many of those who, as you say, would be existentially imperiled should it come to pass. Finally, Daniel Greenfield, thank you for your [01:04:00] insights into so many of these topics. I wonder if you would just conclude our program for today by addressing the role President Trump is playing at the moment in this part of the world. He is clearly seeking some sort of end to the hostilities in Gaza. He is expressing profound displeasure, shall we say, at the evidence that David Wurmser has disputed that there's great privation on the part of the Palestinian people to the point of starvation en masse. If you were speaking to President Trump today specifically presumably you would commend him for not having the United States be party to this conference at the United Nations. But what else would you say? And to the American people as well, what should we be thinking about and understanding as a takeaway from this important [01:05:00] briefing?

Daniel Greenfield: [01:05:01] President Trump is seeking stability in the Middle East. He's certainly not the first to do so. He's doing it in somewhat more creative, out of the box ways. But the search for stability, the idea that you can negotiate, achieve some sort of agreements and stabilize the region is itself flawed. It's an American understanding of the rest of the world, and particularly in the Middle East and the Muslim world. That understanding really does not abide. The Middle East is not stable. It's inherently unstable. It's destabilized by Islam. It's destabilized by tribalism. It's destabilized by the various ethnic tensions that are there. Which is to say, there isn't going to be a stable Middle East. Stability is impossible. And the United States should stop seeking stability, to stop playing like the world's policeman. In that regard, it should get comfortable with chaos. And this is something I've said before in advising the Trump administration to embrace chaos rather than pursuing this globalist idea that you can create a world order. And [01:06:00] other speakers have discussed that earlier. And this conference, you cannot achieve any kind of world order. The idea is itself a violation

of human nature and more stable first world countries. You can achieve a kind of modus vivendi, but in the Middle East, all you're going to have is a stability. What you can do is influence that instability to America's advantage. You can strengthen our allies. You can weaken our enemies. And that is really the way serious world powers approach the way that Russia and China approach it. That is actual realpolitik. So what I would advise is to end the foolish pursuit of stability of some kind of international order in the Middle East, to simply bolster our allies, weaken our enemies. Use that dynamism to fundamentally change the equation and understand that it's always going to be unstable. It's always going to be subject to revision.

Frank Gaffney: [01:06:54] And also understand that several of you have pointed out that you will be emboldening [01:07:00] our enemies. You will be exacerbating the instability if you, instead of it doing as you've described Daniel, of deterring, you know, the worst of them and strengthening our most important friends and allies. Weakness invites aggression and will be, I think, the feedstock for much of it. Should we watch this process of trying to enable a new Palestinian terror state to be created, where the previous ones record argues very powerfully against it? I want to thank each of our wonderful presenters Doctor David Wurmser, Mark Langfan, Ambassador Yoram Ettinger, Daniel Greenfield and Ken Abramowitz, especially those of you who are here in the States and getting [01:08:00] up very early for the purposes of addressing this impending conference sponsored by the French and the Saudis in New York, getting underway here within an hour or two. This is important work. We very much appreciate the expertise that you all bring and the clarity that I pray that each of these briefings, whether done solely by our Victory Coalition or, as is often the case, in partnership with our friend Rabbi Pesach Wolicki of Israel 365 Action. These are vital exercises, I think, in informing and empowering the American people in particular, to meet the challenges that we face at the moment from Sharia supremacists and their friends in the Middle East and beyond. With that, we will say goodbye and thank you. Please stay tuned for more of these in the weeks to come, as well as make available to those in your [01:09:00] circles of influence. This particular video is regarded as one of our most important. You can find out more about all of it at VictoryCo.org. God bless you all.